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Abstract:

We introduce methodological innovations for constructing network data based on various text data and meta data based features and compare the comparability and agreement of these techniques to ground truth data. We also provide examples for using these techniques for applied research in the context of impact assessment of issue-focused information products.

Paper:

Natural language text data can serve as a stand-alone or supplementary source for enhancing or constructing network data. In computing, the process of locating and classifying nodes and links based on unstructured text data as accurately and efficiently as possible is referred to as relation extraction (Agichtein & Gravano, 2000; Bunescu & Mooney, 2006; Culotta & Sorensen, 2004; McCallum, 2005; Mihalcea & Radev, 2011). In the computational social sciences and digital humanities, such methods have been used to construct, for example, social networks from archived narrative texts (Abello, Broadwell, & Tangherlini, 2012), news data (Johnson & Krempel, 2004; Kleinnijenhuis, de Ridder, & Rietberg, 1997; Roberts, 1997) and communication logs (Corman, Kuhn, McPhee, & Dooley, 2002; Dabbish, Towne, Diesner, & Herbsleb, 2011; Diesner, Aleyasen, Mishra, Schecter, & Contractor, 2014), actor-event networks (Gerner, Schrot, Francisco, & Weddle, 1994; Leetaru & Schrot, 2013), geopolitically contextualized social networks (Diesner, Carley, & Tambayong, 2012) and meta-data enriched semantic networks (Van Atteveldt, 2008).

Going from words to networks involves a plethora of methodological choices: First, text based networks can be constructed from both a) meta data, such as key words and index terms, and/ or b) the content of text bodies (Diesner, 2013). Approach a) is basically a database operation and therefore fairly straightforward and highly efficient. Approach b) requires natural language processing techniques for identifying nodes and edges. Prior research has begun to shed light on how the outcomes of these techniques compare, but our knowledge about this issue is insufficient. Second, people have been using text mining techniques to extract the structure of social systems from text data sources (Diesner et al., 2014; Roth & Cointet, 2010). Again, our knowledge on how the resulting graphs compare to those built based on non-text based sources and by using more classic methods, e.g. surveys and observations, is incomplete. Finally, relation extraction can be based on a variety of text-based features, e.g. semantic, syntactic, proximity-based and probabilistic information (Diesner & Carley, 2011; Mihalcea & Radev, 2011). For this
case, we also have insufficient knowledge on the complementarity and convergence of methods in terms of a) comparing to each other and b) to ground truth data.

In order to answer these open and practically relevant questions and to also enable others to work on these problems, we have been building ConText (http://context.lis.illinois.edu/). ConText is a publicly available software suite for constructing network data from text data and pertinent metadata using a variety of off-the-shelf as well as novel and unique techniques. The latter group includes entity extractors for node classes and sentiment types relevant in the social sciences but not covered by classic computing based coding schemas and tools and the automated construction of social network data based on the content of communication log data.

In this talk, we introduce the methodological innovations available through ConText and provide empirically based answers to some of the abovementioned questions. We also provide examples for using these techniques for applied research in the context of impact assessment of issue-focused information products.
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